my dear Silvia, Contelle 4, 100 30 April 74

est it downed on se the set of th

against Leninism because of where it wants to lead us. Among other things, this is the only way finally to rid oursalves of our Libertarian followers. The State will not wither away because we are nice to each other in communes. It will not wither away at all unless the work which it is there to see done withers away. To speak of the State today is to speak of work discipline - workers' state, any state. Cuba is the prime example. Who could have done more for the workers? OK, China. And that tears it. But it is not Eurocentric to demand that the wealth be returned to the Third World and not in the form of factories. Only that will build the new international. But this is premised on the proposition that the Third World needs the communist society. And that is a new and revolutionary proposition. It is the apposite of "It will do them good". All this has to be worked out, but I know I'm right, and that shifting from the wageless to the waged and then returning to the waged from that point of view is the At this is changing too, + Republi class point of visu.

And, my dear Silvia, it is hard. Gambion has assured me that I get my "place in the sun" this August, and I shall have to get through May, June and July before I get my sun bonus. But we'll manage. The trouble in the collective is mainly due to what I describe above. It is difficult for want to commit themselves totally to a politics which, in the centext of Britain, seems so way out, so unachievable. And yet there are so many signs here that we are going to go places. Two fourteentyear-old girls what a school where I spake a few months ago are circulating a petition for wages for schoolwork. A thousands school kids, about 700 black, were shouting it at their recent demonstration protesting three black kids which had been arrested and beaten. Wives of car workers in Cowley, Oxford, went on a demonstration against the union calling their men out on strike all the time - they said they need the meney. It is a bit mixed up but 250 wemen housewives marching down the street against the union is good enough to begin with. And they said you can't organise housewives! The women in the collective have risen to this magnificently. As I am surprised when they don't see a victory, I'm surprised when they so clearly do, in spite of all the mystification of the women demanding that their men return to work.

And so we go on. On Sunday we will have a meeting th thrash cut the problems, and I'm more than hopeful, I'm confident. But I know after this crists will be another, and another and another, because of how little power we have here, how little they sense the power we have abroad and also not to be forgetten - to refuse work often goes hand in hand with refusing to do anything in a consistent, organised and disciplined way. That is a working class crisis and we must learn to deal with it, how it is best to livews and work with it. It will not go away.

To business. I've read your article. It's very good, but I think it needs cutting. I've read it once and given it to pr Priscilla to read. I want to present it to the collective meeting on the journal which will be some time after the Sunday meeting. I've not yet read the one on welfare.

The two books I left are new rather urgent to me. Can brother Michael post them in any expensative and fast way? If not, see mail. DON'T SPEND THE MONEY, PLEASEX, of sending them by air!

Thanks for the cuttings which arrived this morning. They demand a breadth of understanding new which I feel physically incapable of giving them. My head is a jumble of ideas. But I'll go through them in time, and the Harlan Cy. one is vital.

About Sarita. Bhe's a well-meaning woman, but she always ubtimately talks shit, and has no understanding of politics, some. Every day she changes her mind and wanders through the world waking various forms of trouble. You should have been warned. She totally misunderstood the Italian situation, and is probably making a mess about explaining the London situation too. One of the important things about London is that a number of wemen in the collective have "become" gay. Gay people, especially gay women, have an little power in this country that their first impulse is to cut themselves off from class politics. That doesn't worry me; they will or will not find their way back. It's up to them. There are enough of us to go on in any case. I'll write or call after the meeting on Sunday, to let you know how things stand.

The Toronto trip was a terrible mess in many ways. The ex-New Tendendy women (Judith is still in and so is Frances) are just now coming to feminism. In the year since we were there, Angela and four other women formed what can only be described as a clique. They talk constantly about being "into the perspective", but have not grasped what it means and Angela of the perspective.

Since Writing the above a great many things have happened. First, Priscilla had a hysterectemy two days ago, and is still in the period of pain, will be for a couple of days yet. So it has meant a let of phone calls and rushing back and forth to hespital. But she is a brave weman and will make it.

Second, we had an excellent collective meeting. I'll send you the documents that have been written by different wemen (I den't have extra cepies right new). The one that really pulled the collective together was one by a black sister who said that the problem was that wamen same wemen identified their swn needs as separate from the needs of other wemen, and that that was the basis of racism. For example, if a weman was was single without children, she saw the problems of the full-time housewife/mather as outside her swn need. So how could she, if she was white, see the black weman's needs as her own? Here are the notes on Leninism again: the homogeneity of working class need and the heterogeneity of working class experience. The women really understood this.

Just as important, the radical feminists who left the collective have already been replaced by some women in Reading (about 50 miles from Lenden) who are superb. In many important respects, the last collective meeting was really the <u>first</u> working class meeting I've ever attended in the women's movement. It's clear from this that the journal has to take now another direction; it must go <u>down</u>. That is, it should be simed, or rather tend to be simed, at women around the women's movement and outside it, rather than to those inside it, who have already haskake suffered the impact of the left.

In addition, I've had two long discussions with the weman in Mexico, who is English (and the grandnione of the weman who originally proposed family Allewance), who is arranging for publication of POWER OF WOMEN there. She is very fine, is returning in September, and has given us for publication an article on the Mexican movement. Together with the stuff from Italy, we have the basis of a real international number. She believes, by the way, that once the book is published Resa and/or I might be invited to Mexico to speak. That would be sensational.

The next issue of RACE TODAY will carry a double size editorial on the Portuguese coup. I think you will recognise the style. We had to do it in a great hurry, with the printers breathing down our necks, but it will still be the best thing published in Britain about it. Here again, the wageless have everturned a State power. This time it was primarily the wageless of Portuguese Africa. I had rather an argument with an associate of Toni Negri who teld me about the working class which was "marginalised". That is really the logic of capital. They marginalise you and it is assumed by these extraparliamentary geniuses that you allow yourself to attay marginalised. It is really quite abourd. And it is so nice to have the proof of Portugal.

The ness of your group is great. I think Jane's paper is fine, and it doesn't worry me that she speaks about epcialism. She is making her way to semething and if she uses words that people are familiar with, and infuses them with another content, that may itself be useful. Do tell her I think it's a great beginning.

The people who have read your article here like it very much. Ruth is ecetatic! She deesn't want to cut anything. I still think it can be trimmed, and I'll have another look at it. It is suitable here because a lot of groundwork has already been as laid, but I can see that in

the US were would have to be explained until people get used to the newness of the ideas. I'll write for comment that may be helpful for the unclinted.

Talking of the newness of ideas, listen to the letter we get from a weman in Windser. Canada.

"Dear People,

Please start my subscription with the issue followin; Vol. 1 No. 1 March/April \*74. Thank you.

I really enjoyed Vol.1. No.1. Besides being informative, it was also challenging. Wages for housework as a perspective, for me, poses a new way of lookingat class struggle. But it is hard to come to grips with for so many of the old traditional ways of looking at things hold on. The first issue helped we explore more concretely what wages for housework means particularly the shaplifting article, on the Spot and Leaving Home.

Thank you and keep publishing.
In struggle, Reni"

I  $\circ$  11 send the address to Judith. But the handwriting indicates that it is not an academic, z just an ordinary human genius.

The nws of the film on wforth is great, and Esther would dearly love to take a flight immediately to NY to be involved. If there is any way we can help from here, let us know.

A weman from LF in Padeve just last night delivered all the stuff of March 8/9/10. It is really formidable. I also have the speeches that different women made on the 10th and from what Mariabba said it is Polda's eposch which needs translating most. So we'll try to do it here for the journal. (All ideas for the journal of course must go to the collective, but I'm preparing because we are already a bit later than we intended. Thanks, by the way, for the bread. We need all we can get.) Also we expect to get some photos of the event.

I interviewed the twe 14-year-eld women yesterday and they were magnificent. Yeu will get a copy of the interview when I transcribe it. There is very little about politics that the younger generation has to learn. These two are planning to organise the whole of south Lendon, and then come north. They are thinking in terms of strikes and demonstrations, and are trying to involve their parents, with quite good success. They have opened the whole question, by the way, of the financial fate of children as if their mother has a wage. These two have mathers who work outside of the home and are quite aware that they have spending mency because of that. Isn't it like a puzzle and every day a new piece fits in, giving more of an idea of what the whole picture is like?

So I am much mere hapeful and beuyant than when I wrate on the 30th, and not half as tired. I've been taking it quite easy, really, except that there remain the pressures. I think I shall not let myself get that tired ever again except for the imministraction itself. Thanks for all you have sent. I'll try to call you again. Much love, and power to all the sisters.

PS. Thanks for all the meney. That \$150 came at a good time. Special kiss for Leoncito and Marielena.